Chapter 6: Investment & Implementation Guide Comments

Discussion Draft 1/10/04

Comments by Anisa Divine

Table 6-1

If we are not committed to a single table, I would like the content presented as follows:

Table 6-1 Near-Term Implementation (to 2010)

Table 6-2 Additional Medium/Long-Term Implementation (2011 to 2030)

Table 6-3 Investigation, Research & Development

Table 6 6 introdugation, 1 toodalon a Development					
Data & Tool					
Development (thru					
2030)					
Ag WUE (RDI) to					
2010					
Desal Ocean (to		•			
2010)					
Precip (to 2010)					
Surf Storage (to					
2010)					
Other Strategies					
(thru 2030)					
Science (thru					
2030)					

Table 6-2

Every entry needs the following rows:

Local Initiatives Regional Initiatives

I think CALFED Initiatives should simply be listed as such, rather than as specific line items, which is what I guess has happened under conveyance & storage. That way, the activities (strategies & programs) of other agencies/entities would not be ignored.

For example, under Conveyance there is no mention of the lining of the All American & Coachella Canals, which will provide something in excess of 70 KAFY to the San Diego area for a period of 110 years. This is part of the QSA, but I think this is a conservation measure, rather than a water transfer measure. DWR can check with Bill Jacoby, the SDCWA AC rep, about that. As a second point, I do not know how you would indicate the Lead Implementation Entity, given the categories on the table as it is now configured. San Diego County Water Authority (SDCWA), the lead agency, in relation to IID and the Imperial Valley does not fit under any of the existing categories.

Ag WUE (RDI) – O think UC Cooperative Extension is state govt. not a Local Utility nor a User – the two categories with X-marks. Also, the AWMC will be apply for Prop 50 Funds to support research on this.